

Certification Report

TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V

Sponsor and developer:	<i>Thales DIS France SAS</i> 6 rue de la Verrerie Meudon Cedex France
Evaluation facility:	SGS Brightsight B.V. Brassersplein 2 2612 CT Delft The Netherlands
Report number:	NSCIB-CC-0530133-CR
Report version:	1
Project number:	0530133
Author(s):	Jordi Mujal
Date:	08 September 2022
Number of pages:	12
Number of appendices:	0

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.

Head Office: Westervoortsedijk 73 NL-6827 AV Arnhem

P.O. Box 2220 NL-6802 CE Arnhem The Netherlands Location Leek: Eiberkamp 10 NL-9351 VT Leek

P.O. Box 37 NL-9350 AA Leek The Netherlands info@nl.tuv.com www.tuv.com/nl

Tel. +31 (0)88 888 7 888 Fax +31 (0)88 888 7 879 TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. is a registered company at the Netherlands Chamber of Commerce (KVK), under number 27288788.

VAT number: NL815820380B01 IBAN: NL61DEUT0265155096



CONTENTS

Foreword	3
Recognition of the Certificate	4
International recognition European recognition	4 4
1 Executive Summary	5
2 Certification Results	6
 2.1 Identification of Target of Evaluation 2.2 Security Policy 2.3 Assumptions and Clarification of Scope 2.3.1 Assumptions 	6 6 7 7
2.3.2 Clarification of scope	7
 2.4 Architectural Information 2.5 Documentation 2.6 IT Product Testing 2.6.1 Testing approach and depth 	7 7 8 8
2.6.2 Independent penetration testing	8
2.6.3 Test configuration	9
2.6.4 Test results	9
 2.7 Reused Evaluation Results 2.8 Evaluated Configuration 2.9 Evaluation Results 2.10 Comments/Recommendations 	9 9 9 9
3 Security Target	11
4 Definitions	11
5 Bibliography	12



Foreword

The Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security (NSCIB) provides a third-party evaluation and certification service for determining the trustworthiness of Information Technology (IT) security products. Under this NSCIB, TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. has the task of issuing certificates for IT security products, as well as for protection profiles and sites.

Part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product, protection profile or site according to the Common Criteria assessment guidelines published by the NSCIB. Evaluations are performed by an IT Security Evaluation Facility (ITSEF) under the oversight of the NSCIB Certification Body, which is operated by TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. in cooperation with the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations.

An ITSEF in the Netherlands is a commercial facility that has been licensed by TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. to perform Common Criteria evaluations; a significant requirement for such a licence is accreditation to the requirements of ISO Standard 17025 "General requirements for the accreditation of calibration and testing laboratories".

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V. asserts that the product or site complies with the security requirements specified in the associated (site) security target, or that the protection profile (PP) complies with the requirements for PP evaluation specified in the Common Criteria for Information Security Evaluation. A (site) security target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities.

The consumer should review the (site) security target or protection profile, in addition to this certification report, to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, its security requirements, and the level of confidence (i.e., the evaluation assurance level) that the product or site satisfies the security requirements stated in the (site) security target.

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.



Recognition of the Certificate

The presence of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) and the SOG-IS logos on the certificate indicates that this certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA and the SOG-IS Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOG-IS MRA) and will be recognised by the participating nations.

International recognition

The CCRA was signed by the Netherlands in May 2000 and provides mutual recognition of certificates based on the Common Criteria (CC). Since September 2014 the CCRA has been updated to provide mutual recognition of certificates based on cPPs (exact use) or STs with evaluation assurance components up to and including EAL2+ALC_FLR.

For details of the current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, see http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org.

European recognition

The SOG-IS MRA Version 3, effective since April 2010, provides mutual recognition in Europe of Common Criteria and ITSEC certificates at a basic evaluation level for all products. A higher recognition level for evaluation levels beyond EAL4 (respectively E3-basic) is provided for products related to specific technical domains. This agreement was signed initially by Finland, France, Germany, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. Italy joined the SOG-IS MRA in December 2010.

For details of the current list of signatory nations, approved certification schemes and the list of technical domains for which the higher recognition applies, see <u>https://www.sogis.eu</u>.



1 Executive Summary

This Certification Report states the outcome of the Common Criteria security evaluation of the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V. The developer of the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V is Thales DIS France SAS located in Meudon Cedex, France and they also act as the sponsor of the evaluation and certification. A Certification Report is intended to assist prospective consumers when judging the suitability of the IT security properties of the product for their particular requirements.

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the cryptographic service provider (CSP) package composed with the underlying Java Card platform, TESS v3.0 Platform, which supports its functionality. The TOE provides cryptographic services for the protection of the confidentiality and the integrity of user data and for entity authentication addressing the consumer electronics mobile market. For details on the TESS v3.0 Platform see the [PL-ST] and [PL-CERT].

The TOE has been evaluated by SGS Brightsight B.V. located in Delft, The Netherlands. The evaluation was completed on 08 September 2022 with the approval of the ETR. The certification procedure has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security [*NSCIB*].

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the security target *[ST]*, which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation, the intended environment for the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V, the security requirements, and the level of confidence (evaluation assurance level) at which the product is intended to satisfy the security requirements. Consumers of the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V are advised to verify that their own environment is consistent with the security target, and to give due consideration to the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

The results documented in the evaluation technical report *[ETR]*¹ for this product provide sufficient evidence that the TOE meets the EAL4 augmented (EAL4+) assurance requirements for the evaluated security functionality. This assurance level is augmented with ALC_DVS.2 (Sufficiency of security measures) and AVA_VAN.5 (Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis

The evaluation was conducted using the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 *[CEM]* for conformance to the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 *[CC]* (Parts I, II and III).

TÜV Rheinland Nederland B.V., as the NSCIB Certification Body, declares that the evaluation meets all the conditions for international recognition of Common Criteria Certificates and that the product will be listed on the NSCIB Certified Products list. Note that the certification results apply only to the specific version of the product as evaluated.

¹ The Evaluation Technical Report contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not available for public review.



2 Certification Results

2.1 Identification of Target of Evaluation

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) for this evaluation is the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V from Thales DIS France SAS located in Meudon Cedex, France.

The TOE is comprised of the following main components:

Delivery item type	Identifier	Version
Hardware	S3NSN4V Integrated Circuit	rev.0
Software	TESS Platform	V3.0 (Platform Identification Data Elements: D0023A15520109 OS Update Identification Data Elements: 00000001)
Software	CSP (CSPApi plugin)	V1.0 (CE020100)

To ensure secure usage a set of guidance documents is provided, together with the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V. For details, see section 2.5 "Documentation" of this report.

For a detailed and precise description of the TOE lifecycle, see the [ST], Chapter 2.4.4.

2.2 Security Policy

The TOE has the following features:

- Authentication of users,
- Authentication and attestation of the platform to entities,
- Data authentication and non-repudiation including time stamps,
- Encryption and decryption of user data,
- Trusted channel including mutual authentication of the communicating entities, encryption and message authentication proof for the sent data, decryption and message authentication verification for received data,
- Management of cryptographic keys with security attributes including key generation, key derivation and key agreement, internal storage of keys, import and export of keys with protection of their confidentiality and integrity,
- Generation of random bits which may be used for security services outside the platform.
- Management of certificates including import
- Management of import and export of user data and access control
- Security management including management of security functions behaviour, of Authentication reference data, of security attributes of cryptographic keys, maintaining roles, restricting the ability to manage security functions such as password authentication and trusted channel to the Administrator
- Protection management including management of the integrity or confidentiality of data and TSF data that required integrity or confidentiality, management of the residual information protection, management of failures, management of physical attack, management of self-tests

For specific details on the TESS v3.0 Platform related security policies see the [PL-ST] and [PL-CERT].



2.3 Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

2.3.1 Assumptions

The assumptions defined in the Security Target are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific Security Objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. For detailed information on the security objectives that must be fulfilled by the TOE environment, see section 5.2 of the [ST].

2.3.2 Clarification of scope

The evaluation did not reveal any threats to the TOE that are not countered by the evaluated security functions of the product.

There are no security claims on the following components of the platform which do not form part of the TOE security functionality:

YCT, Felica, SHFudan, CIPURSE, Custom API, eSE features and Custom Scenario 3.

2.4 Architectural Information

The logical architecture, originating from the Security Target [ST] of the TOE can be depicted as follows:



2.5 Documentation

The following documentation is provided with the product by the developer to the customer:

Identifier	Version	Date
Guidance for Secure application development on Thales Embedded Secure Solutions, D1516176	2.0b	March 2022
Cryptographic Service Provider API Programming Guidelines	1.0	07 April 2021
Patch Loading Management for Certified Secure Elements - External Procedure, D1344508,	A04	March 2022
Platform Identification and Configurability TESS v3.0, D1559228	1.12	30 March 2022
Operational guidance on CC platforms - TESS v3.0, D1568335	1.0c	June 2022



Operational guidance on CC platforms for VA - TESS v3.0, D1568336	1.0	February 202
Preparative guidance on CC platforms - TESS v3.0, D1568337	1.0	February 2022
UpTeq Card Applet Development Guide, D1542793A	-	11 February 2021
TESS v3.0 APDU Guide, D1567724A	1.1	28 January 2022
TESS v3.0 Card Architecture Guide, D1567725A	1.0	31 January 2022
Application Verification for Certified Secure Elements - External Procedure, D1258682	C04	July 2022
Guidance for Upteq NFC422 v1.0 Combo profile set up vs. JavaCard System Protection Profile	1.2	21 March 2022
GlobalPlatform Card - Composition Model Security Guidelines for Basic Applications, GPC_GUI_050	2.0	November 2014

2.6 IT Product Testing

Testing (depth, coverage, functional tests, independent testing): The evaluators examined the developer's testing activities documentation and verified that the developer has met their testing responsibilities.

2.6.1 Testing approach and depth

The developer has performed extensive testing on functional specification, subsystem and module level. All parameter choices have been addressed at least once. All boundary cases identified have been tested explicitly, and additionally the near-boundary conditions have been covered probabilistically. The testing was largely automated using industry standard and proprietary test suites. Test scripts were extensively used to verify that the functions return the expected values.

The underlying hardware test results are extendable to composite evaluations, as the underlying platform is operated according to its guidance and the composite evaluation requirements are met.

For the testing performed by the evaluators, they witnessed a part of the tests, reproducing a selection of the developer tests. A small number of test cases designed by the evaluator were also executed by the evaluators. All test results were as expected.

2.6.2 Independent penetration testing

The independent vulnerability analysis performed was conducted along the following steps:

- When evaluating the evidence in the classes ASE, ADV and AGD the evaluator considered whether potential vulnerabilities could already be identified due to the TOE type and/or specified behaviour in such an early stage of the evaluation.
- For ADV_IMP a thorough implementation representation review was performed on the TOE. During this attack-oriented analysis the protection of the TOE was analysed using the knowledge gained from all evaluation classes. This resulted in the identification of (additional) potential vulnerabilities. This analysis used the attack methods in [JIL-AM] and [JIL-AAPS].
- All potential vulnerabilities were analysed using the knowledge gained from all evaluation classes and information from the public domain. A judgment was made on how to assure that these potential vulnerabilities are not exploitable. The potential vulnerabilities were addressed by penetration testing, a guidance update or in other ways that are deemed appropriate.

The total test effort expended by the evaluators was 23 weeks. During that test campaign, 32% of the total time was spent on Perturbation attacks, 63% on side-channel testing, and 5% on logical tests.



2.6.3 Test configuration

The configuration of the sample used for independent evaluator testing and penetration testing was the same as described in the [ST].

2.6.4 Test results

The testing activities, including configurations, procedures, test cases, expected results and observed results are summarised in the *[ETR]*, with references to the documents containing the full details.

The developer's tests and the independent functional tests produced the expected results, giving assurance that the TOE behaves as specified in its *[ST]* and functional specification.

No exploitable vulnerabilities were found with the independent penetration tests.

The algorithmic security level of cryptographic functionality has not been rated in this certification process, but the current consensus on the algorithmic security level in the open domain, i.e., from the current best cryptanalytic attacks published, has been taken into account.

Not all key sizes specified in the *[ST]* have sufficient cryptographic strength for satisfying the AVA_VAN.5 "high attack potential". The TOE supports a wider range of key sizes (see *[ST]*), including those with sufficient algorithmic security level to exceed 100 bits as required for high attack potential (AVA_VAN.5).

The strength of the implementation of the cryptographic functionality has been assessed in the evaluation, as part of the AVA_VAN activities.

For composite evaluations, please consult the [ETRfC] for details.

2.7 Reused Evaluation Results

There has been extensive reuse of the ALC aspects for the sites involved in the development and production of the TOE, by use of 8 Site Technical Audit Reports.

No sites have been visited as part of this evaluation.

2.8 Evaluated Configuration

The TOE is defined uniquely by its name and version number TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V.

2.9 Evaluation Results

The evaluation lab documented their evaluation results in the *[ETR]*, which references an ASE Intermediate Report and other evaluator documents. To support composite evaluations according to *[COMP]* a derived document *[ETRfC]* was provided and approved. This document provides details of the TOE evaluation that must be considered when this TOE is used as platform in a composite evaluation.

The verdict of each claimed assurance requirement is "Pass".

Based on the above evaluation results the evaluation lab concluded the TESS v3.0 CSP on S3NSN4V, to be **CC Part 2 extended, CC Part 3 conformant**, and to meet the requirements of **EAL 4 augmented with ALC_DVS.2 and AVA_VAN.5**. This implies that the product satisfies the security requirements specified in Security Target [ST].

The Security Target claims 'strict' conformance to the Protection Profile [PP].

2.10 Comments/Recommendations

The user guidance as outlined in section 2.5 "Documentation" contains necessary information about the usage of the TOE. Certain aspects of the TOE's security functionality, in particular the countermeasures against attacks, depend on accurate conformance to the user guidance of both the software and the hardware part of the TOE. There are no particular obligations or recommendations for the user apart from following the user guidance. Please note that the documents contain relevant details concerning the resistance against certain attacks



In addition, all aspects of assumptions, threats and policies as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself must be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. For the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, the customer should define the period of time until a re-assessment for the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

The strength of the cryptographic algorithms and protocols was not rated in the course of this evaluation. This specifically applies to the following proprietary or non-standard algorithms, protocols and implementations: None.

Not all key sizes specified in the *[ST]* have sufficient cryptographic strength to satisfy the AVA_VAN.5 "high attack potential". To be protected against attackers with a "high attack potential", appropriate cryptographic algorithms with sufficiently large cryptographic key sizes shall be used (references can be found in national and international documents and standards).



3 Security Target

The TESS v3.0 CSP – Security Target, T1038529_TESSv3-CSP_ST, v1.3p, 02 September 2022 [ST] is included here by reference.

4 Definitions

This list of acronyms and definitions contains elements that are not already defined by the CC or CEM:

API	Application Programming Interface
APDU	Application Protocol Data Unit
CSP	Cryptographic Service Provider
eSE	Embedded Secure Element
IC	Integrated Circuit
IT	Information Technology
ITSEF	IT Security Evaluation Facility
JIL	Joint Interpretation Library
NSCIB	Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the area of IT Security
OS	Operating System
PP	Protection Profile
RNG	Random Number Generator
SE	Secure Element
TOE	Target of Evaluation
YCT	Yang Cheng Tong (transport plugin)



5 Bibliography

This section lists all referenced documentation used as source material in the compilation of this report.

[CC]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Parts I, II and III, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017
[CEM]	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017
[COMP]	Joint Interpretation Library, Composite product evaluation for Smart Cards and similar devices, Version 1.5.1, May 2018 Must be retained for all composite smartcard TOEs
[ETR]	Evaluation Technical Report "TESS v3.0 CSP platform on S3NSN4V rev.0 IC" – EAL4+, 22-RPT-510, version 8.0, 08 September 2022.
[ETRfC]	Evaluation Technical Report for Composition "Thales JCS and CSP on Thales TESS Samsung S3NSN4V rev.0 IC" – EAL4+, 22-RPT-728, version 7.0, 08 August 2022.
[HW-CERT]	Rapport de certification ANSSI-CC-2021/35-R01, S3NSN4V 32-bit RISC Microcontroller for Smart Card including specific IC Dedicated software (Référence: S3NSN4V_20220407), 12 July 2022
[HW-ETRfC]	Evaluation Technical Report (ETR for composition) - CAYUSE6-R2, v1.0, 20 April 2022
[HW-ST]	S3NSN4V 32-bit RISC Microcontroller for Smart Card including specific IC Dedicated software, ST (Security Target) Lite, version 2.0, 13 April 2022
[PL-CERT]	Certification Report TESS v3.0 Platform, NSCIB-CC-0530096-CR, version 1, 02 September 2022.
[PL-ETRfC]	Evaluation Technical Report for Composition "Thales TESS v3.0 on S3NSN4V rev.0 IC" – EAL4+, 22-RPT-727, version 6.0, 06 September 2022
[PL-ST]	TESS v3.0 Platform – Security Target, T1038529_TESSv3-JCS_ST, v1.2p, 02 September 2022
[JIL-AAPS]	JIL Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards, Version 3.1, June 2020
[JIL-AM]	Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices, Version 2.4, January 2020 (sensitive with controlled distribution)
[NSCIB]	Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security, Version 2.5, 28 March 2019
[PP]	Cryptographic Service Provider Protection Profile, V0.9.8, February 2019, registered under the reference BSI-CC-PP-0104-2019
[ST]	TESS v3.0 CSP – Security Target, T1038529_TESSv3-CSP_ST, v1.3p, 02 September 2022

(This is the end of this report.)