

TELE MEDİKAL YAZILIM VE BİLİŞİM TEKNOLOJİ ÜRÜN SAN VE TİC. LTD. ŞTİ

YAZILIM BİRİMİ

TMYPACS v1.3.18 SECURITY TARGET

Document Name	: TMYPACS v1.3.18 SECURITY TARGET
Document Version	: 2.7
Revision Date	: 30.03.2023
Prepared by	: Tarık Çayan/Software Engineer
Approved by	: Feyza Nur Sazak/IT Manager

REVISION HISTORY

Revision No	Revision Reason	Date of Revision
1.0	First Release	04.12.2017
1.1	Physical Scope Description is updated.	30.01.2018
1.2	SFR formating is updated for FDP_ACF.1.1 and FMT_SMF.1.1	05.02.2018
1.3	Logical Scope of TOE and SEF.Management are updated.	07.02.2018
1.4	Physical Scope Description is updated.	09.02.2018
1.5	TOE overview is updated.	02.03.2018
1.6	TOE overview is updated.	03.04.2018
1.7	Physical Scope of TOE and SEF.Management are updated.	28.06.2018
1.8	Physical Scope of TOE and SEF.Management are updated.	06.07.2018
1.9	SEF.Management and Table5 are updated.	09.11.2018
2.0	FIA_AFL.1 and Table5 are updated.	07.01.2019
2.1	FMT_SMF.1 is updated.	17.05.2019
2.2	Section 6 is updated	17.10.2019
2.3	TOE version is updated.	07.07.2020
2.4	Updated according to Observation Report 24	10.07.2020
2.5	Changed terms from SSL to TLS v1.2	13.10.2022
2.6	Updated ST Publication Date	09.12.2022
2.7	ST Lite Verison	30.03.2023

ACRONYMS

CC	: Common Criteria			
CCMB	: Common Criteria Management Board			
DICOM	: Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine			
EAL	: Evaluation Assurance Level (defined in CC)			
IT	: Information Technology			
OSP	: Organizational Security Policy			
PACS	: Picture Archiving and Communication Systems			
PP	: Protection Profile			
SAR	: Security Assurance Requirements			
SFR	: Security Functional Requirements			
SHA	: Secure Hash Algorithm			
TOE	: Target of Evaluation			
TSF	: TOE Security Functionality (defined in CC)			
TSE	: Turkish Standards Institute			
TLS v1.2	: Transport Layer Security v1.2			

TABLES

Table 1 Minimum Requirements of Non-TOE hardware/software/firmware	10
Table 2 Security Objectives Covarage	19
Table 3 Security Functional Requirements	22
Table 4 Minimum Level of Auditable Events	23
Table 5 Access Control List	26
Table 6 Management of Security Attribute	28
Table 7 Security Assurance Requirements	30
Table 8 SFR Dependency Rationale	31
Table 9 SFR Covarage	32
Table 10 Security Enforcing Functions Covarage	34

FIGURES

Figure 1 Typical Healtcare Information System	. 9
Figure 2 TMYPACS v1.3.18 Application Software	13

TABLE OF CONTENT

TABLES 4 FIGURES. 4 I. ST INTRODUCTION 7 1.1 ST REFERENCE 7 1.2 TOE REFERENCE 7 1.3 TOE OVERVIEW 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.2 TOE FORERENCE 7 1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope 13 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 PC CAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18	ACRONYMS	3
1. ST INTRODUCTION 7 1.1 ST REFERENCE 7 1.2 TOE REFERENCE 7 1.3 TOE OVERVIEW 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.1 TOE Type. 8 1.3.1 OP To Type. 8 1.3.3 Operational Environment Components 9 1.4.1 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope 13 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.2 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.4 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3.5 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.1 Threats 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18	TABLES	4
1.1 ST REFERENCE 7 1.2 TOE REFERENCE 7 1.3 TOE OVERVIEW 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.2 TOE Type. 8 1.3.3 Operational Environment Components. 9 1.4.4 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope of TOE. 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 AC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.2 PP CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1.1 Threats. 16 3.1.1 Threats. 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE. 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE. 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE. 18 4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE. 18 4.5 SECURITY OBJECT	FIGURES	4
1.2 TOE REFERENCE 7 1.3 TOE OVERVIEW 8 1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.2 IDE Type 8 1.3.3 Operational Environment Components 9 1.3.4 Type of Users 12 1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope 13 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.2 PP CLAIM 15 2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 2.5 PC CLAIM 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT <td>1. ST INTRODUCTION</td> <td>7</td>	1. ST INTRODUCTION	7
1.3.1 Introduction 8 1.3.2 TOE Type 8 1.3.3 Operational Environment Components 9 1.3.4 Type of Users 12 1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope 13 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.2 PP CLAIM 15 2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1 INTRODUCTION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.5 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.5 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION 21		
1.3.2 TOE Type 8 1.3.3 Operational Environment Components 9 1.3.4 Type of Users 12 1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION 13 1.4.1 Physical Scope 13 1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE 14 2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM 15 2.2 PP CLAIM 15 2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1 INTRODUCTION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 19 5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION 21 6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 22 6.2.1 Security Audit 23 <	1.3 TOE OVERVIEW	8
1.3.3 Operational Environment Components	1.3.1 Introduction	8
1.3.4 Type of Users		
1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION		
1.4.1Physical Scope131.4.2Logical Scope of TOE142CONFORMANCE CLAIM152.1CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM152.2PP CLAIM152.3PACKAGE CLAIM152.4CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE153SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION163.1INTRODUCTION163.1.1Threats163.1.2Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3Assumptions174SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1INTRODUCTION184.2SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT184.4SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1SFR FORMATTING226.2.1Security Audit236.2.2Cryptographic Operation256.2.3User Data Protection256.2.4Identification and Authentication276.2.5Security Management286.2.6Protection of TOE296.2.7Trusted Path29		
1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE		
2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM152.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAIM152.2 PP CLAIM152.3 PACKAGE CLAIM152.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE153 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION163.1 INTRODUCTION163.1.1 Threats163.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE286.2.7 Trusted Path296.2.7 Trusted Path29		
2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAİM.152.2 PP CLAIM.152.3 PACKAGE CLAİM152.4 CONFORMANCE CLAİM RATIONALE.153 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION163.1 INTRODUCTION163.1.1 Threats.163.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions.174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES.184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Vecurity Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29		
2.2 PP CLAIM 15 2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM 15 2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE 15 3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1 INTRODUCTION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT 18 4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE 19 5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION 21 6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 23 6.2.2 Cryptographic Operation 25 6.2.3 User Data Protection 25 6.2.4 Identification and Authentication 27 6.2.5 Security Management 28 6.2.6 Protection of TOE 29 6.2.7 Trusted Path 29	2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM	15
2.3 PACKAGE CLAİM152.4 CONFORMANCE CLAİM RATIONALE153 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION163.1 INTRODUCTION163.1.1 Threats.163.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions.174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.7 Trusted Path29	2.1 CC CONFORMANCE CLAİM	15
2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAIM RATIONALE153 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION163.1 INTRODUCTION163.1.1 Threats163.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29		
3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION 16 3.1 INTRODUCTION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT 18 4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE 19 5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION 21 6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 22 6.2.1 Security Audit 23 6.2.2 Cryptographic Operation 25 6.2.3 User Data Protection 25 6.2.4 Identification and Authentication 27 6.2.5 Security Management 28 6.2.6 Protection of TOE 29 6.2.7 Trusted Path 29		
3.1 INTRODUCTION 16 3.1.1 Threats 16 3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP) 16 3.1.3 Assumptions 17 4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES 18 4.1 INTRODUCTION 18 4.2 Security OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 Security OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE 18 4.3 Security OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT 18 4.4 Security OBJECTIVES RATIONALE 19 5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION 21 6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT 22 6.1 SFR FORMATTING 22 6.2 Cryptographic Operation 25 6.2.3 User Data Protection 25 6.2.4 Identification and Authentication 27 6.2.5 Security Management 28 6.2.6 Protection of TOE 29 6.2.7 Trusted Path 29	2.4 CONFORMANCE CLAİM RATIONALE	15
3.1.1 Threats.163.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions.174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES.184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.185 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	16
3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)163.1.3 Assumptions174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT185 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	3.1 Introduction	16
3.1.3 Assumptions.174 SECURITY OBJECTIVES.184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	3.1.1 Threats	16
4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES184.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)	16
4.1 INTRODUCTION184.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.1 SFR FORMATTING236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	3.1.3 Assumptions	17
4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE184.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES	18
4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT.184.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	4.1 INTRODUCTION	18
4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE195 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE	18
5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION216 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29		
6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT226.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	4.4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE	19
6.1 SFR FORMATTING226.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION	21
6.2.1 Security Audit236.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT	22
6.2.2 Cryptographic Operation256.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	6.1 SFR FORMATTING	22
6.2.3 User Data Protection256.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29	•	
6.2.4 Identification and Authentication276.2.5 Security Management286.2.6 Protection of TOE296.2.7 Trusted Path29		
6.2.5 Security Management 28 6.2.6 Protection of TOE 29 6.2.7 Trusted Path 29		
6.2.6 Protection of TOE 29 6.2.7 Trusted Path 29		
6.2.7 Trusted Path		

1. ST INTRODUCTION

1.1 ST Reference

ST Title	TMYPACS v1.3.18 SECURITY TARGET				
ST Version	2.7				
ST Publication Date	30.03.2023				
CC versionCommon Criteria for Information Technology Security Evalu Version 3.1 (revision 5)					

1.2 TOE Reference

TOE Identification	TMYPACS v1.3.18				
CC Conformance	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 (revision 5)				
PP Conformance	Protection Profile for Security Module of General-Purpose Health Informatics Software Version 3.1				
Assurance Level Evaluation	Assurance Level 2				

1.3 TOE Overview

Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS), is a generic name given to the management systems used to store, access, distribute and present images. PACS allows the archiving, management and recall of images provided by imaging devices such as Direct X-ray (CR and DR), Ultrasonography (USG), Magnetic Resonance (MR), Computerized Tomography (CT or CT), Mammography.

A radiological information system (RIS) is the core system for the electronic management of imaging departments. The major functions of the RIS can include patient scheduling, resource management, examination performance tracking, examination interpretation, results distribution, and procedure billing. RIS is used to create, store and manage radiological data and images of patients. It is a type of health or hospital information system (HIS), designed to automate and manage the processes in the radiological department.

Typically, the key components of a radiology information system consist of a database and a front-end RIS application. Radiological devices capture radiological tests & data and store it on the database. The front-end RIS application helps in accessing and editing that data. An RIS generally provides:

- Patient registration and management
- Radiology workflow management
- Document and image creation, modification and management
- Billing and reporting

TOE is a security module used for web based PACS / RIS. The TOE architecture is designed for health information systems and is responsible for the security of operations performed through them (HIS). The TOE is also tasked with data protection.

Actions created by PACS / RIS are performed securely using the TOE. Thus, unauthorized access to patient and user data is prevented. The TOE provides a way to provide secure connection to the end-to-end. Examples of connection parties are databases and users. Through the TOE, user management, TOE management, event recording monitoring actions can be performed.

The security functionality in the TOE includes:

- ✤ user identification and authentication with password management;
- ✤ TOE access control;
- management of user access privilages;
- ✤ auditing;
- ✤ secure communication,

1.3.1 Introduction

TOE is a logical security module for web-based general-purpose health information management system. The health information management system refers to an application which hosts and processes all kind of patient data and which can be accessed online.

ST is prepared for Picture Archiving and Communication Systems/Radiological Information System, which provides online services. Therefore, in this ST the security functional requirements, that are common in those applications above, have been taken into consideration.

1.3.2 TOE Type

The type of the TOE is a <u>logical security module</u> for web based general purpose health information systems application.

1.3.3 Operational Environment Components

This section provides detailed description of the TOE and discusses the software and hardware components of the TOE (operational environment) and basic security and functional features of the TOE.

1.3.3.1 Operational Environment Components and Supported Non-TOE Software and Hardware Components for TOE

Since the TOE operates on a network, it interacts with the components of that network. There is a web server on which the TOE operates and this web server operates on an operating system, which operates on a hardware server.

This section identifies peripheral software and hardware components, which interact with the TOE. Figure 1 shows how the TOE interacts with the operational environment. During the interactions all the communications between the TOE and its components are performed by TLS V1.2 communication protocol.



Figure 1 Typical Healtcare Information System

The structure of operational environment of the TOE. External communication is provided by TLS V1.2.

Web server: The TOE operates on a web server as a web application. This web server may use any technology.

Operating system: The server that the TOE runs on has an operating system. The web server that the TOE runs on, operates on this operating system and uses the sources of this system through this operating system.

Application Note 1: TOE consists of two part of application software. One part operates on a web server as a web application and other part runs on windows operating system.

Hardware server: The TOE operates on a server. This server may have different features varying from product to product.

Network components and the firewall: The TOE interacts with the network components in order to exchange patient and other related information. This interaction is carried out through the operating system and the server. Internet access of the TOE is controlled by a firewall.

Time stamp server: The TOE requires time stamp server, which is provided by operational environment in order to secure logs. This time stamp server provides timestamps based on electronic signatures (which is hardware created). It is assumed that time server runs on a secure server and time information obtained from this server is also assumed to be secure.

Database: TOE saves all of the user and patient records in this database. There is a firewall protecting this database.

Minimum requirements are listed below;

 Table 1 Minimum Requirements of Non-TOE hardware/software/firmware

WEB SERVER				
СРИ	Intel Core i5 6500 Soket			
Memory	12 GB			
Operating System	Windows Server 2008 R2			
Disk	500GB			
Application Server	IIS 7			
Connectivity	TCP/IP			
CLIENT				
CPU	Intel Core i3			
Memory	4 GB			
Operating System	Windows 7,8,10, Mac, Linux			
Browser	Explorer, Mozilla, Safari, Chrome			
Connectivity	Connectivity TCP/IP			
DATABASE				
Database	se MS Sql Express 2008 R2			

Database and web server are installed on the same hardware server.

1.3.3.2 Usage and Major Basic Security and Functional Attributes

TOE allows for auditing the checking in and out of the patients, examinations and reviews, and other related reports and materials. Thus, the TOE allows for accessing the patients' medical history immediately. Additionally the TOE allows saving the individual information contact information of the patient and the surgeries that the patient had before. The TOE additionally provides basic security functions like authentication, access control, secure communication and security management in order to provide security for the patient information. The explanation of these security related attributes of the TOE are as follows:

Authentication and authorization: It is because the TOE users may access through an unsecure environment, effective authentication and authorization processes are required to apply. Authentication is performed through user name and password verification. Hash functions (in general) are applied to passwords to prevent them from reversing to the original. Hashing information saved together with the salt variant. After the authentication is successfully completed, then the TOE will authorize the users and give access rights to them based on their user types and roles. The roles are explained in 1.3.4.

Access control: TOE provides access permissions to pre-authorized sources depending on the user name and the password. The data of "which users may have access to what kind of sources" is kept in the access control lists.

Auditing: TOE automatically audits logs in order to record user activities over the system assets, access control and modifications. Content of the audit logs and the method of auditing should be easily understood and configurable through a user interface. TOE stamps the logs with a time stamp to prevent them from unauthorized modification. Thus, TOE could detect unauthorized modification of the logs.

Administration: TOE provides effective control mechanisms for the users responsible for administration of the system. It is important that these mechanisms should make decision-making process easier and more effective. TOE provides system administrator's authorization and data management functionalities. Only the authorized users can access interfaces provided for administration of the TOE and more strict security measures are applied to those interfaces. Roles defined for the TOE are administrator, end user, system user and the auditor. Administrator is the role that performs functions related to the administration of the TOE. User is the role that uses the TOE within the limits of authorization. Auditor is the role that can use only auditing functions, which are used in audits.

Data protection: TOE keeps records of two kinds of data in general, the patient data and the user data. TOE is responsible for protecting these data. It should be noted that protection should be provided not only for storing of the data but also during the transmission of the data. Data protection is performed by an effective authentication and authorization mechanisms, access control policies, and administrative and auditing operations.

Secure Communication: TOE needs to communicate both with its components and with other components such as databases. Those communications should be done in a secure way, using the TLS V1.2 protocol. Secure communication will ensure that sniffing over the network will be prevented and the data transferred between the components are protected against the attackers.

1.3.4 Type of Users

The TOE shall have the following four types of users as a minimum requirement. These roles are organized on a need to know basis and have segregation requirements. These are as follows:

- End User
- System User
- ✤ System Administrator
- System Auditor

End User: End user sees the TOE as a black box. He is able to deal with the data for which he is authorized to. Typical functions that the end user is authorized to use are: search, list, view documents and records. End users are not authorized to update patient records or such other critical data.

System User: System user has the same privileges with the normal user. In addition to these, data entry operator can also register/scan/import incoming documents/records into the TOE. He/she has the needed capabilities to effectively and securely use importing tools like scanners.

System_Administrator: System Administrator has explicit authorization on management of the TOE. Administrator can be one person, or there may be specific administrators for the different parts of the TOE, like database administrator, network administrator, application administrator. Administrator can access the application, database, file system and other entities with all privileges.

System Auditor: System auditors have read only access priviliges to audit logs and authentication and authorization configurations provided by the TOE. They are entitled to check any audit logs that the applications produces and authentication and authorization configurations for the TOE. A user may have a single role or multiple roles at the same time, based on the role type.

1.4 TOE Description

1.4.1 Physical Scope

TOE physically consists of the following software component;

- ✓ Software components
 - > TOE Part 1: MTS Web Application
 - > TOE Part 2: Dicom Service.



Figure 2 TMYPACS v1.3.18 Application Software

The TOE consists of two-part application. The first part is a web application and the second part (Dicom Servis) is a windows service application. Dicom service query patient's information from pacs systems over the network and then saves in TMYPACS Database. Records of the TOE are stored in the database and this structure is protected against external threats by a firewall.

MTS Web Application (TOE Part1): User triggers a request to the web application over the Internet using a web browser through HTTPS.Web application forwards this request to the database and returns responses page back over the HTTPS to the user. Data protection is performed by an effective authentication, authorization mechanisms, access control policies, administrative and auditing operations in TOE Part 1. Authentication is performed through user name and password verification.

The TOE Part 2 is running on the hardware server operating system and its properties may change according to the project. TOE Part 2 (DICOM (Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine) service) queries the data from PACS (Picture Archiving Communication System) servers through VPN and records to TmyPacs database.

There are two main steps for product delivery:

1) Files and it's necessary component are uploaded to Telemedikal FTP server, and VPN is installed on customer's equipment. After that, the customer can connect to Telemedikal's FTP server and download the necessary components.

2) Telemedikal's employees go to the customer's working environment and install the product on the customer's machine.

The installation process is performed by Telemedikal's Employees and the system is handed over to the customer in a working manner. For installation process, the TMYPACS manual installation, TMYPACS SQL Script file and MSSQL Server Express setup files are put in a DVD and given to the customer.

The TOE must be configured with the use of a secure communications channel that is used to exchange information between the TOE and external client. This secure communication channel of HTTPS uses Secure Sockets Layer (TLS V1.2) for the transmission of data and for configuration, TLS V1.2 certificate should be installed. Evaluated TOE configuration is defined at the TMYPACS_PRE_v1.0.docx document in detail.

1.4.2 Logical Scope of TOE

The TOE authenticates its users through username and password. Following the authentication process, access to the TOE resources is granted according to the access rights. Access to the TOE resources is controlled. The authorization mechanism is used for this. Role-based access is granted.

The TOE generates log records to track user activities and security related events. It provides the user interface to enable traceability of these log records. At the same time they are recorded with a time stamp. Audit records are protected against unauthorized deletion and modification operations.

The TOE provides mechanisms for users to make the TOE administration effective. System administrator can manage user. There are 4 roles defined in the TOE. The system administrator manages access rights to the TOE resources. It manages the roles. It manages the configuration settings of the TOE.

The TOE generally has three types of data. These data are user data, tsf data and patient data. Access to these data is controlled. It is monitored which user has access to which database. An unauthorized person is denied access to these resources. User data is protected not only at the storage location but also during transmission by TLS V1.2.

2 CONFORMANCE CLAIM

2.1 CC Conformance Claim

This Security Target and TOE claims conformance to

- ✓ Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1: Introduction and General Model; CCMB-2017-04-001, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- ✓ Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2: Security Functional Components; CCMB-2017-04-002, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- ✓ Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3: Security Assurance Components; CCMB-2017-04-003, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017

as follows

- ✓ Part 2 conformant,
- ✓ Part 3 conformant.

The

✓ Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Evaluation Methodology, CCMB-2017-04-004, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017

has to be taken into account during evaluation.

2.2 PP Claim

This Security Target claims strict conformance to Protection Profile for Security Module of General-Purpose Health Informatics Software v1.0

2.3 Package Claim

This Security Target claims conformance to package EAL2.

2.4 Conformance Claim Rationale

This security target (ST) claims strict conformance with the Protection Profile (PP) TSE-CCS/PP-011 referenced in 2.2 PP Conformance Claims. The type of TOE defined in this ST is consistent with the TOE type defined in the PP which is claimed in the section 2.2

TOE meets and exceeds all the requirements defined in the PP which the TOE claims conformance.

Security problem definition and security objectives contained in this ST are consistent with those in the PP.

3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION

3.1 Introduction

This section identifies security threats related to the TOE and defines actions that should be taken against these threats. Other threats, which are out of the scope of the TOE, are discussed in the assumptions. These threats are assumed to avoid independent from this ST. Organizational security policies are discussed in this section as well.

3.1.1 Threats

The threat agents are described below;

- Attackers who have knowledge of how the TOE operates and are assumed to possess a basic skill level, and intend to alter TOE configuration settings/parameters and no physical access to the TOE.
- TOE users who have extensive knowledge about the TOE operations and are assumed to have a high skill level, moderate resources to alter TOE configuration settings/parameters and physical access to the TOE.

The TOE address the following threats are applicable listed below

T. COMM The unauthorized user gains access to the user data and the patient data when it is traversing across the internet from to the application resulting in a loss of confidentiality and integrity of user data.

T.PRVLG_ESC An attacker/ a limitedly authorized user may modify management data that they are not authorized and gain access to the sensitive like patient data and system data by privilege escalation.

T.UNAUTH An unauthorized user obtains or modifies stored user data that they are not authorized to access resulting in a loss of confidentiality or integrity of the data.

T.AUDIT_TRAIL A threat agent may perform a large amount of transactions in order to fill the logs and hence make audit unavailable

T.DoS An attacker may attempt to make service unavailable by overwhelming it with traffic from multiple sources.

T.PASSWORD An attacker/unauthorized user may get the passwords in the database and authenticate to the TOE by these passwords causing confidentiality or integrity damage of user or management data.

3.1.2 Organizational Security Policy (OSP)

The organizational security policies are defined for secure use of the Healthcare information system in below;

P.VEM TOE should be able to transfer the available data (if available) stored in the database securely whenever the TOE is installed in the first time. Besides whenever TOE is uninstalled, TOE should be able to prepare the data for the transfer to a new software. During this data transfer process, the integrity of the data should be provided by the TOE.

Application Note 2: The format of data for the transfer should follow the rules defined by the Republic of Turkey, Ministry of Health. This format is also known as VEM. The details of the VEM can be found on the web site of the Ministry of Health.

3.1.3 Assumptions

The assumptions are described in below;

A.PHYSICAL It is assumed that the servers that host the web and database servers are hosted in a secure operating facility with restricted physical access with non- shared hardware.

A. ADMIN It is assumed that all users who is responsible to install, configure and operate the TOE and the IT entities in the operational environment of the TOE are experienced, trained and meet the security conditions.

4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES

4.1 Introduction

This section discusses the security objectives for the TOE and the security objectives for the Operational Environment of the TOE.

Security objectives are discussed in two parts: the security objectives for the TOE (security objectives that addressed directly by the TOE) and the security objectives for the Operational Environment of the TOE (security objectives that addressed by IT environment).

4.2 Security Objectives for the TOE

The security objectives for the TOE are described in below;

O.ACCESS The TOE must ensure that only authorized users are able to access protected resources or functions.

O.USER The TOE must provide an identification and authentication mechanism such that there will be no access to protected resources or functions before presenting user credentials.

O.MANAGE TOE shall provide all necessary means and functions in order that system administrators manage the system securely and effectively.

O.COMM The TOE must ensure that user data going across the network to the web server is protected from disclosure and integrity deprivation.

O.AUDIT TOE ensures that all operations related with accessing to system functionalities and security be audited.

O.HASH TOE ensures that passwords stored in the database are hashed.

4.3 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

The security objectives for operational environment are defined in below;

OE.PHYSICAL Security objectives for the operational environment shall provide physical security of the IT entities within the domain. Unauthorized entries and exits to and from this environment need to be blocked.

OE.ADMIN The owners of the TOE must ensure that the administrator who manages the TOE is not hostile and is competent.

OE.SEC_COMM Operational environment of the TOE shall provide a secure communication environment. Taking network security precautions should do this.

4.4 Security Objectives Rationale

The following table demonstrates that all security objectives trace back to the threats, OSPs and assumptions in the security problem definition.

	THREATS					OSP	ASSUMPTIONS		
	T. COMM	T.PRVLG_ESC	T.UNAUTH	T.AUDIT_IKAI L	T.DoS	T.PASSWORD	P.VEM	A.PHYSICAL	A.ADMIN
O.ACCESS			X						
O.USER		X	X						
O.MANAGE		X							
O.COMM	Х						X		
O.AUDIT		X		X					
O.HASH						Х			
OE.PHYSICAL								X	
OE.ADMIN									Х
OE.SEC_COMM					Х		Х		

 Table 2 Security Objectives Covarage

T.COMM *O.COMM* objective ensures that all user data from the user to the web server will be secured using TLS V1.2 protecting the user data from unauthorized disclosure and loss of integrity.

T.PRVLG_ESC *O.USER* objective ensures that the TOE identifies and authenticates all users before they access a protected resources or functions. *O.MANAGE* objective ensures that the TOE provides the tools necessary for the authorized administrator to manage the security-related functions and that those tools are usable only by users with appropriate authorizations. *O.AUDIT* objective ensures that all operations related with accessing to system functionalities and security be audited. It allows protecting these logs in a secure way and monitoring them when needed.

T.UNAUTH *O.ACCESS* objective ensures that the TOE restricts access to the TOE objects to the authorized users. *O.USER* objective ensures that the TOE identifies and authenticates all users before they access a protected resources or functions.

T.AUDIT_TRAIL *O.AUDIT* objective provides functionality for taking action when the audit log is full.

T.DoS *OE.SEC_COMM* allows the communication network of the TOE to provide a secure communication environment that makes the denial of service attack ineffective.

T.PASSWORD *O.HASH* provides the hashed passwords presented by the users are stored in the database. Thus, to authenticate a user, the password provided by the user is compared with the stored hash.

P.VEM *O.COMM* objective ensures that all user data from the user to the web server will be secured using TLS V1.2 protecting the user data from unauthorized disclosure and loss of integrity. *OE.SEC_COMM* allows the communication network of the TOE to provide a secure communication environment

A.PHYSICAL *OE.PHYSICAL* objective ensures that the TOE exists and operates in a physically secure environment. It prevents unauthorized individuals from entering in and exiting out of this environment.

A.ADMIN *OE.ADMIN* objective ensures that all users having administrator privileges have passed security controls and been selected from among experienced individuals.

5 EXTENDED COMPONENT DEFINITION

There is not any extended component in this Security Target.

6 SECURITY REQUIREMENT

6.1 SFR Formatting

This section defines the security requirements satisfied by the TOE. Each requirement has been extracted from version 3.1 of the Common Criteria, part 2 providing functional requirements and part 3 providing assurance requirements.

Part 2 of the Common Criteria defines an approved set of operations that may be applied to security functional requirements. Following are the approved operations and the document conventions that are used within this ST to depict their application.

- Assignment: The assignment operation provides the ability to specify an identified parameter within a requirement. Assignments are depicted using **bolded text** and are surrounded by square brackets as follows [assignment].
- Selection: The selection operation allows the specification of one or more items from a list. Selections are depicted using *italics text* and are surrounded by square brackets as follows [*selection*].
- **Refinement:** The refinement operation allows the addition of extra detail to a requirement. Refinements are indicated using **bolded text**, for additions, and strike-through, for deletions.

6.2 Security Functional Requirements (SFR)

This section specifies the security functional requirements for the TOE. It organizes the SFRs by the CC classes.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component				
	FAU_GEN.1: Audit Data Generation				
	FAU_GEN.2: User identity association				
FAU: Security Audit	FAU_SAR.1: Audit Review				
FAU. Scurty Aunt	FAU_STG.1: Protected Audit Trail Storage				
	FAU_STG.4: Prevention of audit data loss				
FCS: Cryptographic Support	FCS_COP.1: Cryptographic Operation				
FDP: User Data Protection	FDP_ACC.1: Subset Access Control				
FDF: User Data Frotection	FDP_ACF.1: Security Attribute Based Access Control				
	FIA_AFL.1: Authentication failure handling				
FIA: Identification and Authentication	FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action				
	FIA_UAU.2: User authentication before any action				
	FMT_MSA.1: Management of Security Attributes				
FMT: Security Management	FMT_MSA.3: Static Attribute Initialization				
	FMT_SMF.1: Specification of Management Functions				
	FMT_SMR.1: Security Roles				
FPT: Protection of The TSF	FPT_STM.1: Reliable time stamps				
FTP: Trusted Path/Channels	FTP_TRP.1: Trusted Path				

 Table 3 Security Functional Requirements

6.2.1 Security Audit

	·····			
Hierarchical to:	No other components.			
Dependencies:	FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps			
FAU_GEN.1.1	The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:			
	a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;			
	b) All auditable events for the [minimum] level of audit; and			
	c) [none].			
FAU_GEN.1.2	The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:			
	a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and			
	b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, [none].			

FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation

Application Note 3: Minimum level of auditable events are given below

 Table 4 Minimum Level of Auditable Events

SFR	Auditable Events		
FCS_COP.1	Success and failure, and the type of cryptographic operation		
FDP_ACF.1	Successful requests to perform an operation on an object covered by the SFP		
FIA_AFL.1	The reaching of the threshold for the unsuccessful authentication attempts and the actions (e.g. disabling of a terminal) taken and the subsequent, if appropriate, restoration to the normal state (e.g. re-enabling of a terminal)		
FIA_UAU.2	Unsuccessful use of the authentication mechanism		
FIA_UID.2	Unsuccessful use of the user identification mechanism, including the user identity provided		
FMT_SMF.1	Use of the management functions		
FMT_SMR.1	Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role		
FPT_STM.1	Changes to the time		
FTP_TRP.1	 Failures of the trusted path functions, Identification of the user associated with all trusted path failures, if available 		

FAU_GEN. 2 User identity association

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification
FAU_GEN.2.1	For audit events resulting from actions of identified users, the TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.

FAU_SAR.1 Audit review

Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation		
FAU_SAR.1.1	The TSF shall provide [System Auditor] with the capability to read [all audit information] from the audit records.		
FAU_SAR.1.2	The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.		

Application Note 4: The System Administrator is the top level administrator of the TOE. System Administrator can read all audit records, like the System Auditor.

FAU_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation
FAU_STG.1.1	The TSF shall protect the stored audit records in the audit trail from unauthorized deletion.
FAU_STG.1.2	The TSF shall be able to [<i>detect</i>] unauthorized modifications to the stored audit records in the audit trail.

Application Note 5: The TOE strictly prevents unauthorized modification of audit records. The TOE does not provide an interface for authorized / unauthorized users to delete or modify audit records. It is designed to be readable only system auditor. For this reason, it does not need to detect the modification of audit records. TOE Design is based on to prevent modification and delete operation.

FAU_STG.4 Prevention of audit data loss

Hierarchical to:	FAU_STG.3 Action in case of possible audit data loss
Dependencies:	FAU_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage
FAU_STG.4.1	The TSF shall [overwrite the oldest stored audit records] and [none] if the audit trail is full

Application Note 6: Storage duration of the audit records can be configured by the System Administrator.

6.2.2 Cryptographic Operation

FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation

Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	[FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attributes, or		
	FDP_ITC.2 Import of user data with security attributes, or		
	FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation]		
	FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction		
FCS_COP.1.1	The TSF shall perform [secure hashing] in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm [SHA-2 with the digest size of 256 bits] and cryptographic key sizes [none] that meet the following: [FIPS PUB 180-4 Secure Hash Standard].		

6.2.3 User Data Protection

Application Note 7: The access control policy which determines the objects and actions associated with identified roles are described here.

FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control7

Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control		
FDP_ACC.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Administrative access control policy] on [
	subjects: end user, system user, sytem administrator, system auditor		
	objects: Healthcare Information System data (Data described in Table 5)		
	operations: Read, Write, Modify, Delete].		

Application Note 8: The access control policy which determines the objects and actions associated with identified roles are described below Table 5.

FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control		
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization		
FDP_ACF.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Administrative access control policy] to objects based on the following: [
	subject: end user, system user, sytem administrator, system auditor		
	objects: Healtcare Information System data (Data described in Table 5)		
	subject attribute: User ID		
	object attribute: Modul ID (Access Control List)].		
FDP_ACF.1.2	The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [

a) If users are successfully authenticated according to access privilege assigned, then access are granted based on privilege allocated for that users; and

b) If user attempt is not successful, therefore, access permission is denied].

- FDP_ACF.1.3 The TSF shall explicitly authorize access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [**none**].
- FDP_ACF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [**none**].

	Authentication Data	Logs	Access Control List	Health Information	Contact Information	Indivudual Information
End User	Modify his/her authentication data	х	Х	read	read	read
System User	Modify his/her authentication data	Х	Х	read write	read	read
System Administrator	Read, write, modify and delete all users authentication datas	read	read, write, modify and delete	read, write, modify	read, modify and delete	read, modify and delete
System Auditor	Modify his/her authentication data	read	Х	Х	Х	Х

Table 5 Access Control List

6.2.4 Identification and Authentication

FIA_AFL.1 Authentication failure handling			
Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication		
FIA_AFL.1.1	The TSF shall detect when [<i>an administrator configurable positive integer within [3-300]</i>] unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to [User Authentication].		
FIA_AFL.1.2	When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been [<i>met</i>], the TSF shall [block the account for 20 minutes or until the system admin unblocks it].		
Application Note 9:	Default value of the administrator configurable positive integer for unsuccessful authentication attempts is five.		
FIA_UAU.2 User aut	hentication before any action		
Hierarchical to:	FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication		
Dependencies:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification		
FIA_UAU.2.1	The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.		
FIA_UID.2 User identification before any action			
Hierarchical to:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification		
Dependencies:	No dependencies.		
FIA_UID.2.1	The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.		

6.2.5 Security Management

FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes

Hierarchical to:	No other components.		
Dependencies:	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or		
	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]		
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles		
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions		
FMT_MSA.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Administrative access control SFP] to restrict the ability to [<i>modify, delete,</i> [create]] the security attributes [defined in the table 6] to [System Administrator].		

Authorised Role	Ability to	Security Attribute		
		Using checkbox		
	Modify	Users Roles		
System Administrator	(Enable/Disable)	Access DICOM Servers		
		Access DICOM Servers Location		
		User Status		
		Access statistically reports		
	Create	Users Roles		
	Delete	Users Roles		

FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization

Hierarchical to:	No other components.				
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes				
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles				
FMT_MSA.3.1	The TSF shall enforce the [Administrative access control SFP] to provide [<i>restrictive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.				
FMT_MSA.3.2	The TSF shall allow the [System Administrator] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.				
FMT_SMF.1 Specific	ation of Management Functions				
Hierarchical to:	No other components.				
Dependencies:	No dependencies.				
FMT_SMF.1.1	The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions:				
	[management of users Access rights, management of user roles, management of the threshold for the unsuccessful authentication attempts, management of the reactivate blocked user accounts,				

Application Note 10: These management functions are only provided to the System Administrator by the TOE for management of the system.

FMT_SMR.1 Security roles

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

FMT_SMR.1.1	The	TSF	shall	maintain	the	roles	[End	User,	System	User,	System
Administrator and System Auditor].											

FMT_SMR.1.2 The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles

6.2.6 Protection of TOE

FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FPT_STM.1.1	The TSF operational environment shall be able to provide reliable time
	stamps

6.2.7 Trusted Path

FTP_TRP.1 Trusted path

Hierarchical to:	No other components.						
Dependencies:	No dependencies.						
FTP_TRP.1.1	The TSF shall provide a communication path between itself and [<i>remote</i>] users that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from [<i>modification, disclosure</i>].						
FTP_TRP.1.2	The TSF shall permit [<i>remote users</i>] to initiate communication via the trusted path.						
FTP_TRP.1.3	The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for [initial user authentication]						

6.3 Security Assurance Requirements (SAR)

The TOE meets the security assurance requirements for EAL2. The following table is the summary for the requirements.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components				
	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description				
ADV: Development	ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification				
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design				
AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance				
	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures				
ALC: Life-cycle support	ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system				
	ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage				
	ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures				
	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims				
	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition				
ASE: Security Target evaluation	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction				
	ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives				
	ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements				
	ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition				
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification				
	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage				
ATE: Tests	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing				
	ATE_IND.2 Independent testing - sample				
AVA: Vulnerability Assessment	AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis				

6.4 Security Requirements Rationale

6.4.1 SFR Dependency Rationale

The table below lists each SFR to which the TOE claims conformance with a dependency and indicates whether the dependent requirement was included

SFR	Dependency	Dependency Met?				
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	YES				
	FAU_GEN.1	YES				
FAU_GEN.2	FIA_UID.1	YES(FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1)				
FAU_SAR.1	FAU_GEN.1	YES				
FAU_STG.1	FAU_GEN.1	YES				
FAU_STG.4	FAU_STG.1	YES				
	[FDP_ITC.1 or	SHA-2 is a hashing algorithm and is a one-way function. Therefore, it does not use				
ECS COD 1	FDP_ITC.2 or	any key for hashing and there is no				
FCS_COP.1	FCS_CKM.1],	FCS_CKM.1 and FCS_CKM.4 involved for				
	FCS_CKM.4	the function. Therefore, the dependencies are not applicable.				
FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACF.1	YES				
	FDP_ACC.1	YES				
FDP_ACF.1	FMT_MSA.3	YES				
FIA_UID.2	-	-				
FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UID.1	YES(FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1)				
FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.1	YES(FIA_UAU.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UAU.1)				
	[FDP_ACC.1 or	FDP_ACC.1,				
FMT_MSA.1	FDP_IFC.1]	YES				
	FMT_SMR.1	YES				
	FMT_SMF.1					
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	YES,				
	FMT_SMR.1	YES				
FMT_SMF.1	-	-				
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	YES(FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1)				
FPT_STM.1	-	-				
FTP_TRP.1	-	-				

6.4.2 SFR – Objective Rationale

Table 9 provides an overview for security functional requirements coverage also giving an evidence for sufficiency and necessity of the SFRs chosen.

	O.ACCESS	O.USER	O.MANAGE	O.COMM	O.AUDIT	O.HASH
FAU_GEN.1					Х	
FAU_GEN.2					Х	
FAU_SAR.1					Х	
FAU_STG.1					Х	
FAU_STG.4					Х	
FCS_COP.1						Х
FDP_ACC.1	X					
FDP_ACF.1	X					
FIA_UID.2		Х				
FIA_UAU.2		Х				
FIA_AFL.1	X					
FMT_MSA.1			X			
FMT_MSA.3			X			
FMT_SMF.1			Х			
FMT_SMR.1		Х	Х			
FPT_STM.1					Х	
FTP_TRP.1				Х		

- **O.ACCESS** *FDP_ACC.1* helps to meet the objective by identifying the objects and users subjected to the access control policy. *FDP_ACF.1* meets this objective by ensuring the rules for the specific functions that can implement an access control policy. *FIA_AFL.1* defines values for some number of unsuccessful authentication attempts and TSF actions in cases of authentication attempt failures.
- **O.USER** *FIA_UAU.2* meets the objective by confirming that the user is authenticated before any TSF-mediated action. *FIA_UID.2* meets the objective by ensuring that the user is identified before any TSF-mediated action. *FMT_SMR.1* manages 4 roles (End User, System User, System Administrator and System Auditor).
- **O.MANAGE** *FMT_MSA.1* encounters this objective by allowing the system administrator to manage the specified security attributes. *FMT_MSA.3* ensures that the default values of security attributes are restrictive. FMT_SMF.1 allows the specification of the management functions to be provided by the TOE.

	<i>FMT_SMR.1</i> manages 4 roles (End User, System User, System Administrator and System Auditor).
O.COMM	FTP_TRP.1 helps to meet the objective by establishing an TLS V1.2 Secure channel from the user's browser to health informatics system application protecting the user data from disclosure and modification.
O.AUDIT	With reliable time stamps provided by <i>FPT_STM.1, FAU_GEN.1</i> generates the minimum level of auditable events, and specifies the list of data that shall be recorded in each record and <i>FAU_GEN.2</i> associate auditable events to individual user identities. <i>FAU_SAR.1</i> provides that the user with system auditor role can view the all audit information. <i>FAU_STG.1</i> protects audit trail from unauthorized deletion and/or modification. <i>FAU_STG.4</i> specifies actions in case the audit trail is full.
O.HASH	<i>FCS_COP.1</i> helps to meet the objective by hashing all the passwords using SHA- 2 before they are written into the database.

6.4.3 SAR Rationale

The chosen assurance level is appropriate with the threats defined for the environment. The threats that were chosen are consistent with attacker of low attack motivation, therefore EAL2 was chosen for this ST.

7 Security Enforcing Functions Coverage

Table 10 provides an overview for security enforcing functions coverage also giving an evidence for covarage of the SEFs defined.

	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.2	FAU_SAR.1	FAU_STG.1	FAU_STG.4	FCS_COP.1	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACF.1	FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UID.2	FMT_MSA.1	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMR.1	FPT_STM.1	FTP_TRP.1
SEF.Identification/Authentication						X			X	X	X						
SEF.AccessControl							X	X									
SEF.Audit	X	X	X	X	X											X	
SEF.Management												X	X	X	X		
SEF.SecureCommunication																	X

 Table 10 Security Enforcing Functions Covarage